

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,378	11/12/2003	David John Smith	CM-2477M2D	6378
27752 7	590 08/14/2006		EXAMINER	
THE PROCT	ER & GAMBLE COM	WEBB, GREGORY E		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/706,378	SMITH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory E. Webb	1751
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communer. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuler. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re lication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI II, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice) ☐ This action is non-final. r allowance except for formal matte	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		·
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the content of the content	a) accepted or b) objected to be on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand be correction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been re Il Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0905;0505;0904; 0704a; S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	0/SB/08) Paper No(s) 0/SB/08) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20060810

Spolol

Application/Control Number: 10/706,378 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/20/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 2. The applicant argues that the prior art references teach away from the applicant's claimed deformability.
- 3. The examiner does not agree with this argument. Although the prior art may prefer their pouch to be non-deformable, these prior art references are not limited to preferred embodiments but instead must be read as a whole.
- 4. For example, in the '49164 reference, it is clearly taught that the pouch is made from a "stretchable material." (see paragraph 0039). It is not clear to the examiner how the term "stretchable material" could refer to anything else but a deformable body. How could something stretch without deforming? The examiner maintains from these teaching that the prior art does in fact teach a deformable body or would at the very least be inherent to the flexible bodies of the '49164 reference. Thus this rejection is maintained.
- 5. Concerning the '77264 reference, the examiner again contends that this reference directly teaches or would inherently teach a deformable body. In paragraph 0027 it is clearly stated that "preferably, the pouch, in particular the first pouch, is made of a material which is stretchable, as set out herein." Again the term "stretchable" would either directly anticipate the or inherently anticipate the applicant's term "deformability."
- 6. Concerning the '312 reference, the applicant states that this reference is silent to the term "deformability." Here the examiner disagrees. Although the '312 does not use the exact

Art Unit: 1751

terminology as the applicant, the '312 reference clearly addresses this feature. For example, in column 3, lines 40-65 the '312 reference clear states that the water-soluble resin should be strong and pliable. The term "pliable" is clearly synonymous with "deformability."

- 7. Almost all dishwashers are going to have different geometries of dispensers. It is unclear to the examiner why anyone would create a non-deformable rigid pouch. Such a rigid pouch would clearly be limited to machines with an identical geometry. The examiner is unaware of any dishwashing pouch that possesses rigid dimensions so that it would only fit into a machine with a dispenser with identical geometry.
- 8. The examiner does not expect to ever find the applicant's specific "deformability" measurements as such values are never reported. Thus such properties unless shown otherwise by very specific evidence would be inherent to those recited prior art references.
- 9. As such previous rejections are maintained.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1751

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory E. Webb whose telephone number is 571-272-1325. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-17:30 (m-f).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglass McGinty can be reached on (571)272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gregory E. Webb

WPrimary Examiner

Art Unit 1751

gew